

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| 09/884,007 | 06/18/2001 | Manoel Tenorio | 020431.0849 | 020431.0849 3397 | | |
| 53184 7 | 7590 01/26/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| i2 TECHNOLOGIES US, INC. ONE i2 PLACE, 11701 LUNA ROAD | | | RUDY, A | RUDY, ANDREW J | | |
| DALLAS, TX 75234 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , | | | 3627 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 01/26/200 | DATE MAIL ED: 01/26/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 09/884,007 | TENORIO ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Andrew Joseph Rudy | 3627 | | |

| | - Talaiew Goodpii Kaay | 002. | |
|---|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n | ffidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | | n ta dha fhaal aataadhaa a dh | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f). | ng date of the final rejections of the FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing of | t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Office | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | , | OTE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | • | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | ejected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | ompliant Amendment (| (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | 4 11 41 |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | • | • | _ |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | viii be entered and an e | xpianation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under app | eal and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application | in condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | |
| 13. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection is maintained. It is noted only one rule is required..

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3627